IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE THIRTIETH DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE K.C. BHANU

WRIT PETITION NO : 6754 of 2005

Between:

Ch. Balasundra Rao, S/o Late Ch. Sathaiah,
R/o 1-82, Thamadapalli, Post. Tirugallapalli, Marriguda Mandal, Nalgonda District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1. APSTC, rep. by its Managing Director, Musheerabad,

   Hyderabad.

2. The Regional Manager, APSRTC, Hyderabad City Region,

   JBS, Secunderabad.

3 The Depot Manager, APSRTC Bus Depot, Irbrahimpatnam,

   Ranga Reddy District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an appropriate writ or direction particularly one in the nature of Writ of mandamus declaring the in action of the respondents in not providing employment to the petitioner on Compassionate Grounds for the post of Shramik as arbitrary, unjust and in violation of Art, 14 and 21 of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the respondents to consider the petitioner's case for the post of Shramik.

Counsel for the Petitioner:MR.V.NARASIMHA GOUD

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3: MR.V.T.M.PRASAD

The Court at the admission stage made the following O R D E R:

Petitioner seeks a Writ of Mandamus to declare the action of respondents in not providing employment to the petitioner on compassionate grounds for the post of Shramik as illegal and arbitrary.

The case of the petitioner is that his father joined the service of the respondent-Corporation as driver on 25.06.1993, through due process of selection. Thereafter, his services were regularized with effect from 31.08.1994. While so, the father of the petitioner met with an accident on 01.12.2004 and while undergoing treatment succumbed to injuries on 05.12.2004. Thereafter, the mother of the petitioner made representation on 19.02.2005 seeking appointment on compassionate grounds. The letter dated 21.02.2005 would go to show that the third respondent addressed to the second respondent regarding the representation made by the mother of the petitioner to consider the case of the petitioner on priority basis. Since the respondents 1 and 2 has not passed any orders, the petitioner filed the present writ petition.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned standing counsel appearing for the respondents.

In the circumstances of the case, without going into the merits of the case, the writ petition is disposed of directing respondents 1 and 2 to consider the representation made by the petitioner dated 19.02.2005 in terms of the recommendations made by the third respondent and pass appropriate orders in accordance with law, preferably, within a period six weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. No order as to costs.

_____

(K.C.BHANU,J)

Dt:30th March, 2005

Note:CC in three days

Bo

usd

To

1. The Managing Director APSRTC, , Musheerabad,

   Hyderabad.

2. The Regional Manager, APSRTC, Hyderabad City Region,

   JBS, Secunderabad.

3 The Depot Manager, APSRTC Bus Depot, Irbrahimpatnam,

   Ranga Reddy District.

4. Two CD copies.

5. 1 CC to Mr.Prasad.

*Form-NIC-OGS/WP{**AVLR**}*